

Public



Scrutiny

About us, the public scrutiny

The English and Dutch version is authentic

The public scrutiny is the scrutiny carried out by people into the standing firm of the Human Rights in the UN Declaration, as the authentic declarants still want and intend it.

Our legitimation

The European Court of Human Rights has explained the purpose of the coercion that any judicial verdict shall be "pronounced publicly". Namely, to ensure the scrutiny of the judiciary by the public with a view to safeguarding the right to a "Fair Trial" (Judgment ECHR in Case of Campbell and Fell v. United Kingdom, June 28, 1984, §91) . This legal public control let itself not be selected and let itself not be conditioned or restricted. (Case URL "["\)](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{%22 itemid%22:[%22001-57456%22]})

Our target

Keeping the UN Declaration as being the standard, for which it is created, against which laws and tribunal or judicial decisions are calibrated.

Keeping the UN Declaration as the power-source of unity, which is expressed by 30 articles in one document, each in harmony with all 29 other articles at the same time, and in this way is executed.

Keeping the UN Declaration as the indicator of justness, which has no measure but only is or is not; So that justness is recognized by the legal unity and the unlimited variation in thought and expression both at the same time in one.

Our mission

The public scrutiny is only for the scrutiny of infringements against the "Fair Trial" by tribunals or judges in judgely decisions. Close connected to this is preventing the need for intervention by public scrutiny.

On the one hand, by a notice of default for the authorities over the tribunal or judge, such as, for example, the authority in charge of the functionality & effectiveness or in charge on the craftsmanship of tribunals or judges.

On the other hand, by preventing the need for lawsuits, and the preliminary quarrels, which arise from unknowing or misunderstanding.

What we do now is recovery and coaching

The battle

The priority is the recovery (healing) of the nowadays "tribunal"/"judge". The judiciary has, illegally, become a power-hungry body. While every tribunal or judge is always the last in line and always will be. This is an internal conflict for them. A tribunal/judge seldom conducts solid research, legal-scientific research and the report of what is done is no good. The tribunal investigates what the parties have done in the present process; But almost never what the parties have done preceding to avoid the present lawsuit and it almost never judges about provoking. Nor is there one judgment for all equal cases, plus in it is equality not established and its dividing line has not been verified.

We judge once for all equal cases and report the defects in it in a way that enables reparation. The judgment is published on the website of the public scrutiny. We combat against the practical, but illegal, norm that everything is allowed as long as a court has not ruled on that case. We combat against the tribunal-system that has become a multi-stage licensing system.

Coaching

One person cannot carry the world. This means that it from rebellion, cannot repair the causes for all others. Children have not asked for anything and yet they had to come into our world. Unconditionally, every child and our youth deserve, without anything in return, the best coaching towards the fellow-human society. To recover is to heal, because the first papers are good enough and sufficiently precise.

We look for opportunities to support this coaching in any appropriate way.

Spreading knowledge

Our children and youth need to know about the existence of the UN Declaration and, inseparable to it, its history and equally inseparable its target. Subsequently, each parent of the children must know the content of the UN Declaration and inseparable from this what and how Human Rights must be used in daily practice. The core always remains that every exercise of rights is also at the same time a compulsive respect for all others.

We look for every possible route and means to distribute the knowledge.

The calibration pole

For the highest degree of purity there is one website of legal public scrutiny. The legal public scrutiny thus distinguishes itself from all other copies or imitations. With this is established at the same time an immutable, public calibration pole.

We are looking for national settlements in every UN country that has signed the UN Declaration. Herewith at the same time is the goal and working method to maintain unity and diversity.

Reliability and validity

The judgments of the public scrutiny provide publicly a written report on detecting conflicts with "Fair Trial" or "Fair Play", with the UN Declaration, with civil service, and with craftsmanship such as the requirements of solid legal-scientific research. The checked documents are mainly verifiable copies of public authentic deeds. They are verifiable to the UN Declaration.

Each sound law is providing for future cases and circumstances. It must be written with sufficient precision to enable each civil citizen to become concord in its behaviour. A serious amendment of the law is extremely sensitive; Because of the question of why freedoms and rights were less restricted in the past or whether the law was unclear about the legal consequences; This in the necessary examination of the will and intention of the legislature at the time. The government's lack of adequate education or information is not a reason for change. A different reading of the law, than the will and intention of the legislator at the time, is high treason against the first tribunal or the first judge(s). This timeline is around and close to 1990.

Earning or business model

The Human Rights are inalienable. So the property of each individual civil citizen so that it is or cannot possibly become a commodity. Therefore, from the Human Rights cannot be earned, in any way, nor can it, in any way, provide work with income. One reason for this is the current economic rule that the work where with one earns money must not vanish and this is straight opposed to the permanent repair of violations against human rights.